



BSI Standards Publication

Road vehicles — Human performance and state in the context of automated driving

Part 2: Considerations in designing experiments
to investigate transition processes

National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of ISO/TR 21959-2:2020.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee AUE/12, Ergonomics (Road vehicles).

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its secretary.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2020

Published by BSI Standards Limited 2020

ISBN 978 0 580 98877 6

ICS 43.020

Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 March 2020.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

| Date | Text affected |
|------|---------------|
|------|---------------|

TECHNICAL REPORT

ISO/TR
21959-2

First edition
2020-02-28

Road vehicles — Human performance and state in the context of automated driving —

Part 2: Considerations in designing experiments to investigate transition processes

*Véhicules routiers — Etat et performance humaine dans le contexte
de la conduite automatisée —*

*Partie 2: Principes expérimentaux pour étudier les processus
de transition*



Reference number
ISO/TR 21959-2:2020(E)

© ISO 2020



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2020, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11
Fax +41 22 749 09 47
copyright@iso.org
www.iso.org

Contents

Page

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Foreword | v |
| Introduction | vi |
| 1 Scope | 1 |
| 2 Normative references | 1 |
| 3 Terms and definitions | 1 |
| 4 List of Acronyms | 1 |
| 5 Purpose | 2 |
| 6 Transition process models | 2 |
| 6.1 General | 2 |
| 6.2 Transition process model for system-initiated transitions | 2 |
| 6.3 Transition process model for human-initiated transitions | 3 |
| 7 Human factors that influence takeover performance | 4 |
| 7.1 General | 4 |
| 7.2 Driver attributes | 4 |
| 7.2.1 Knowledge | 4 |
| 7.2.2 Experience and trust | 5 |
| 7.2.3 Demographic attributes | 5 |
| 7.3 Driver readiness/availability | 5 |
| 7.3.1 Sitting position and posture | 6 |
| 7.3.2 Engagement in non-driving related activities | 6 |
| 7.3.3 Drowsiness | 7 |
| 7.3.4 Mind wandering | 8 |
| 7.3.5 Situation awareness | 8 |
| 7.3.6 Operating state/mode awareness | 9 |
| 7.3.7 Attentiveness | 9 |
| 7.3.8 Receptivity | 9 |
| 8 System factors that influence takeover performance | 9 |
| 8.1 General | 9 |
| 8.2 System behaviour | 10 |
| 8.2.1 Type of transition | 10 |
| 8.2.2 System behaviour within takeover mode | 10 |
| 8.2.3 System-initiated risk mitigation strategy | 10 |
| 8.2.4 System limitations and failures | 11 |
| 8.2.5 Stability and reliability of the system functions | 11 |
| 8.2.6 Level of automated driving to which the system shifts in transition | 12 |
| 8.3 Human machine interfaces for Rtl | 12 |
| 8.3.1 Design parameters for HMI | 12 |
| 8.3.2 Total time budget | 13 |
| 8.3.3 Other human machine interfaces to improve drivers' takeover performance | 13 |
| 9 Test scenarios | 13 |
| 9.1 General | 13 |
| 9.2 Parameters for specifying test scenarios | 14 |
| 9.3 Considerations for selecting/designing adequate test scenarios | 16 |
| 9.3.1 Investigating driver state transitions during automated driving | 16 |
| 9.3.2 Investigating takeover performance in non-critical transitions | 16 |
| 9.3.3 Assessing takeover performance at system limits | 16 |
| 10 Takeover performance | 17 |
| 10.1 Introduction | 17 |
| 10.2 Taxonomy of human performance measures | 18 |
| 10.2.1 Addressed phases of transition | 18 |

| | | |
|---|---|-----------|
| 10.2.2 | Suitability for transition type..... | 19 |
| 10.2.3 | Scope of assessment..... | 20 |
| 10.2.4 | Data considerations..... | 21 |
| 10.3 | Overview of measures and characteristics..... | 22 |
| 11 | Testing environments..... | 24 |
| 11.1 | General..... | 24 |
| 11.2 | Types..... | 24 |
| 11.2.1 | Simulator studies..... | 24 |
| 11.2.2 | Roadway studies..... | 27 |
| 11.3 | Advantages and disadvantages..... | 28 |
| 11.3.1 | Realism-to-safety trade-off..... | 28 |
| 11.4 | Considerations for test environment selections..... | 30 |
| Annex A (informative) Human machine interfaces/interactions for automated vehicles | | 32 |
| Bibliography | | 36 |

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 22, *Road vehicles*, Subcommittee SC 39, *Ergonomics*.

A list of all parts in the ISO 21959 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

Although automation technology is advancing at a rapid pace, the majority of automated driving levels (as defined by SAE J3016, 2016^[1]) still require a human to fulfil specific remaining (driving related) tasks. The safety-critical human's task is the takeover task in transition from a higher level to a lower level of automated driving. Researchers and developers continue to seek system design and human machine interface improvements for better takeover performance. Researchers face a challenge in understanding the limitations of a human's ability to perform the takeover task, which involves different human factors. Developers work to evaluate systems to see whether the takeover process is effective at minimum risk in specific scenarios. There are a wide variety of experiments to evaluate takeover performance in transition for many different purposes. This document contains information to consider in the takeover scenario, some of which is still under investigation, in order to help readers design experiments to evaluate takeover performance and design appropriate experiments.

Road vehicles — Human performance and state in the context of automated driving —

Part 2: Considerations in designing experiments to investigate transition processes

1 Scope

This document focuses on system-initiated and human-initiated transitions ([Clause 6](#)) from a higher level to a lower level of automated driving. Human factors and system factors that can influence takeover performance are included ([Clauses 7](#) and [8](#)). Although some are still under investigation, there is a need to appropriately set these factors as variables to better understand their effects or to better control/eliminate their influence. This approach will aid research design by ensuring that important factors are considered and support consistency across studies enabling meaningful comparisons of findings. This document also includes information on considerations in test scenario design ([Clause 9](#)), common measures for human takeover performance ([Clause 10](#)) and considerations in choosing a testing environment ([Clause 11](#)) to help readers design experiments comparable to other studies.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

No terms and definitions are listed in this document.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

4 List of Acronyms

| | |
|------|-----------------------------|
| DDT | Dynamic Driving Task |
| DMS | Driver Monitor System |
| ECG | Electrocardiogram |
| EEG | Electroencephalogram |
| HMI | Human-Machine Interface |
| KSS | Karolinska Sleepiness Scale |
| MRM | Minimal Risk Manoeuvre |
| NDRT | Non-driving Related Task |